

# STABILITY AND DICHOTOMY OF POSITIVE SEMIGROUPS ON $L_p$

STEPHEN MONTGOMERY-SMITH

ABSTRACT. A new proof of a result of Lutz Weis is given, that states that the stability of a positive strongly continuous semigroup  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  on  $L_p$  may be determined by the quantity  $s(A)$ . We also give an example to show that the dichotomy of the semigroup may not always be determined by the spectrum  $\sigma(A)$ .

Consider a strongly continuous semigroup  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  acting on a Banach space  $X$  with unbounded generator  $A$ . It has long been known that the spectral mapping theorem  $e^{t\sigma(A)} = \sigma(e^{tA}) \setminus \{0\}$  does not necessarily hold. (Here  $\sigma(A)$  denotes the spectrum of an operator  $A$ .) Indeed, let  $s(A) = \sup \operatorname{Re}(\sigma(A))$ , and let  $\omega(A) = \sup \operatorname{Re}(\log(\sigma(e^A))) = \inf\{\lambda : \|e^{tA}\| \leq M_\lambda e^{\lambda t}\}$ . Then there are examples of semigroups for which  $s(A) \neq \omega(A)$  (see [N]).

The purpose of this paper is to give one situation in which it is true that  $s(A) = \omega(A)$ . This next result has already been proved by Lutz Weis [We]. We will give a different, shorter proof. We refer the reader to [We] for a history of the problem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $e^{tA}$  be a strongly continuous positive semigroup on  $L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , where  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is a sigma-finite measure space, and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $\omega(A) = s(A)$ .*

In order to show this result, we will make use of the following lemmas. The first result may be derived from [C], Theorem 7.4 (the reader may like to know that a proof of the ‘Pringsheim-Landau Theorem’ used in [C] may be found on page 59 of [Wi]).

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $e^{tA}$  be a strongly continuous positive semigroup on a Banach lattice  $X$ , and let  $g \in X$ . Then for any  $\lambda > s(A)$  we have that*

$$(\lambda - A)^{-1}g = \int_0^\infty e^{s(A-\lambda)}g \, ds.$$

*Here the right hand side is taken in the sense of an improper integral.*

The next result may be found in [LM1] and [LM2].

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47-02, 47D06, Secondary 35B40.  
Research supported in part by N.S.F. Grant D.M.S. 9201357.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $e^{tA}$  be a strongly continuous semigroup on a Banach space  $X$ , and let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $1 \notin \sigma(e^{2\pi A})$  if and only if  $i\mathbb{Z} \cap \sigma(A) = \emptyset$  and there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that for any  $v_{-n}, v_{-n+1}, \dots, v_n \in X$  we have*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{k=-n}^n (ik - A)^{-1} v_k e^{ikt} \right\|^p dt \leq c^p \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{k=-n}^n v_k e^{ikt} \right\|^p dt.$$

For the next result, we specialize to a Banach lattice of functions on a sigma-finite measure space. In fact, this is really no loss of generality, and the interested reader should find no trouble making sense of this result for a general Banach lattice by applying the ideas in [LT] Chapter 1.4.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $P$  be a positive operator on  $X$ , a Banach lattice of functions on a sigma-finite measure space, such that  $|g| \leq f \in X$  implies that  $g \in X$ . Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . If  $f : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow X$  is a measurable, simple function, then*

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |P(f(t))|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq P \left( \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \right).$$

*Proof.* Let us set  $f = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k \chi_{A_k}$ , where  $v_k \in X$ , and the sets  $A_k \subseteq [0, 2\pi]$  are disjoint. Then, letting  $f_k = v_k |A_k|^{1/p}$ , the result reduces to showing that

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^n |P(f_k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq P \left( \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |f_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \right).$$

However, we know that

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^n |f_k|^p \right)^{1/p} = \text{l.u.b.}_{\sum |a_k|^q \leq 1} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Re}(a_k f_k).$$

Here, l.u.b. denotes the least upper bound in the lattice. Now, since  $P$  is positive, we have that

$$P \left( \text{l.u.b.}_{\sum |a_k|^q \leq 1} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Re}(a_k f_k) \right)$$

is an upper bound for  $\sum_{k=1}^n \text{Re}(a_k P(f_k))$  whenever  $\sum |a_k|^q \leq 1$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |P(f_k)|^p \right)^{1/p} &= \text{l.u.b.}_{\sum |a_k|^q \leq 1} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Re}(a_k P(f_k)) \\ &\leq P \left( \text{l.u.b.}_{\sum |a_k|^q \leq 1} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Re}(a_k f_k) \right) \\ &= P \left( \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |f_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* It is well known that  $s(A) \leq \omega(A)$  (see [N]). Thus by simple rescaling arguments, we see that it is sufficient to show that if  $s(A) < 0$ , then  $\mathbb{T} \cap \sigma(e^{2\pi A}) = \emptyset$ .

We will show, under the assumption that  $s(A) < 0$ , that if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L_p$  is a bounded, measurable function that is periodic with period  $2\pi$ , then for each  $N > 0$  we have

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \int_0^N e^{sA} f(t-s) ds \right\|_{L_p}^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq \|A^{-1}\| \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \|f(t)\|_{L_p}^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

In order to show this, we may assume without loss of generality that  $f$  restricted to  $[0, 2\pi]$  is a simple function. Fix  $N > 0$ . By the positivity of  $e^{sA}$ , and Fubini's Theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \int_0^N e^{sA} f(t-s) ds \right\|_{L_p}^p dt \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \int_0^N e^{sA} |f(t-s)| ds \right\|_{L_p}^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left\| \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \int_0^N e^{sA} |f(t-s)| ds \right)^p dt \right)^{1/p} \right\|_{L_p}. \end{aligned}$$

By the integral version of Minkowski's Theorem (see [HLP], Section 203), it follows that for each  $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \int_0^N e^{sA} |f(t-s)(\omega)| ds \right)^p dt \right)^{1/p} &\leq \int_0^N \left( \int_0^{2\pi} (e^{sA} |f(t-s)(\omega)|)^p dt \right)^{1/p} ds \\ &= \int_0^N \left( \int_0^{2\pi} (e^{sA} |f(t)(\omega)|)^p dt \right)^{1/p} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, from Lemma 4, we see that

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} (e^{sA} |f(t)|)^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq e^{sA} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

Putting all of these together, and applying Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \int_0^N e^{sA} f(t-s) ds \right\|_{L_p}^p dt \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left\| \int_0^N e^{sA} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} ds \right\|_{L_p} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_0^\infty e^{sA} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} ds \right\|_{L_p} \\ &= \left\| A^{-1} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \right\|_{L_p} \\ &\leq \|A^{-1}\| \left\| \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \right\|_{L_p} \\ &= \|A^{-1}\| \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \|f(t)\|_{L_p}^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality uses Fubini's theorem. Now, if  $f(t) = e^{i\beta t} \sum_{k=-n}^n v_k e^{ikt}$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then by Lemma 2, we see that

$$\int_0^N e^{sA} f(t-s) ds \rightarrow \sum_{k=-n}^n (ik + i\beta - A)^{-1} v_k e^{ikt}$$

uniformly in  $t$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence by Lemma 3 it follows that  $e^{i\beta} \notin \sigma(e^{2\pi A})$ .

One might conjecture that the spectrum of the generator of a positive semigroup  $e^{tD}$  on an  $L_p$  space might characterize the dichotomy of the semigroup, that is, if  $a$  is any real number, then  $(a + i\mathbb{R}) \cap \sigma(D) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $e^{ta}\mathbb{T} \cap \sigma(e^{tD}) = \emptyset$ . However, this is not the case, as the next result shows.

**Theorem 5.** *There is a positive semigroup  $e^{tD}$  acting on an  $L_2$  space such that  $(1 + i\mathbb{R}) \cap \sigma(D) = \emptyset$ , but  $e^{2\pi} \in \sigma(e^{2\pi D})$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $C_M$  be the contraction acting on  $\ell_2^M$  by the matrix

$$C_M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that if  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then

$$(\lambda - C_M)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \lambda^{-1-j} C_M^j = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{-1} & \lambda^{-2} & \lambda^{-3} & \lambda^{-4} & \cdots & \lambda^{-M} \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} & \lambda^{-2} & \lambda^{-3} & \cdots & \lambda^{-M+1} \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1} & \lambda^{-2} & \cdots & \lambda^{-M+2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1} & \cdots & \lambda^{-M+3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, if  $|\lambda| = 1$ , then  $\|(\lambda - C_M)^{-1}\| \geq \sqrt{M}$ . Also, if  $|\lambda| > 1$ , then  $\|(\lambda - C_M)^{-1}\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} |\lambda|^{-1-j} \leq 1/(|\lambda| - 1)$ . In particular, if  $|\lambda| \geq 2$ , then  $\|(\lambda - C_M)^{-1}\| \leq 1$ .

Also note that

$$e^{tC_M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t & t^2/2 & t^3/6 & \cdots & t^{M-1}/(M-1)! \\ 0 & 1 & t & t^2/2 & \cdots & t^{M-2}/(M-2)! \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & t & \cdots & t^{M-3}/(M-3)! \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & t^{M-4}/(M-4)! \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus we see that  $e^{tC_M}$  is a positive operator. Clearly  $\|e^{tC_M}\| \leq e^{t\|C_M\|} \leq e^t$ .

Consider the positive semigroup acting on  $L_2([0, 2\pi])$  by

$$e^{tA_M} f(x) = (e^{4t} - 1) \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \frac{dx}{2\pi} + f(x + Mt),$$

so that its generator is the closure of

$$A_M f(x) = 4 \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \frac{dx}{2\pi} + M \frac{d}{dx} f(x).$$

Note that  $\|e^{tA_M}\| \leq e^{4t}$ .

Now consider the positive semigroup  $e^{tB_M} = e^{tA_M} \otimes e^{tC_M}$  acting on

$$X_M = L_2([0, 2\pi]) \otimes \ell_2^M = L_2([0, 2\pi] \times \{1, 2, \dots, M\}),$$

We see that this semigroup is generated by  $B_M = A_M \otimes I + I \otimes C_M$ . Also,  $\|e^{tB_M}\| \leq e^{5t}$ .

Consider a typical element of  $X_M$  given by  $f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} v_n e^{inx} \in X_M$ , where  $v_n \in \ell_2^M$ , and  $\|f\|_{X_M}^2 = 2\pi \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \|v_n\|_2^2$ . If  $\lambda \neq 4$  and  $\lambda \notin M\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $\lambda \notin \sigma(B_M)$ , and

$$(\lambda - B_M)^{-1} f(x) = (\lambda - 4 - C_M)^{-1} v_0 + \sum_{n \neq 0} (\lambda - inM - C_M)^{-1} v_n e^{inx}.$$

Thus

$$\|(\lambda - B_M)^{-1}\| = \max \left\{ \|(\lambda - 4 - C_M)^{-1}\|, \sup_{n \neq 0} \|(\lambda - inM - C_M)^{-1}\| \right\}.$$

In particular, if  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) = 1$  and  $|\lambda| \leq M - 2$ , then  $\|(\lambda - B_M)^{-1}\| \leq 1$ , whereas if  $\lambda = 1 + iM$ , then  $\|(\lambda - B_M)^{-1}\| \geq \sqrt{M}$ .

Now consider the semigroup  $e^{tD} = \bigoplus_{M=1}^{\infty} e^{tB_M}$  acting on

$$\bigoplus_{M=1}^{\infty} X_M = L_2 \left( \bigvee_{M=1}^{\infty} ([0, 2\pi] \times \{1, 2, \dots, M\}) \right).$$

Note that  $e^{tD}$  really is a strongly continuous semigroup, with  $\|e^{tD}\| \leq e^{5t}$ . The generator  $D$  is the closure of  $\bigoplus_{M=1}^{\infty} B_M$ , and hence its resolvent set consists of those

$\lambda$  such that

$$\|(\lambda - D)^{-1}\| = \sup_{M \geq 1} \|(\lambda - B_M)^{-1}\| < \infty,$$

that is,  $\sigma(D) \subseteq \{z : |z - 4| \leq 1\} \cup i\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . In particular, if  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) = 1$ , then  $\lambda \notin \sigma(D)$ . However,  $\sup_{\lambda \in 1+i\mathbb{Z}} \|(\lambda - D)^{-1}\| = \infty$ , and hence, by Gerhard's Theorem (see [N], p. 95),  $e^{2\pi} \in \sigma(e^{2\pi D})$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [C] Ph. Clement, H.J.A.M. Heijmans, et al., *One Parameter Semigroups*, North-Holland, 1987.
- [HLP] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 1952.
- [LT] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces Volume II*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [LM1] Y. Latushkin and S.J. Montgomery-Smith, *Lyapunov theorems for Banach spaces*, Bull. A.M.S. **31** (1994), 44–49.
- [LM2] Y. Latushkin and S.J. Montgomery-Smith, *Evolutionary semigroups and Lyapunov theorems in Banach spaces*, J. Func. Anal. **127** (1995), 173–197.
- [N] R. Nagel (ed.), *One Parameter Semigroups of Positive Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [We] L. Weis, *The stability of positive semigroups on  $L_p$  spaces*, Proc. A.M.S. (to appear).
- [Wi] D. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, vol. 6, Princeton Math Series, 1946.